Studying Galaxy Evolution with Spectroscopic Surveys: What We Have Learned and an Eye Toward the Future

JEYHAN KARTALTEPE ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY TWITTER: @JEYHAN

2022 JULY 5

A REVIEW ON GALAXY EVOLUTION FROM GALAXIES TO COSMOLOGY WITH DEEP SPECTROSCOPIC SURVEYS A TRIBUTE TO OLIVIER LE FÈVRE

Spectroscopic Surveys From Low-z to High-z

SDSS revolutionized our understanding of galaxies in the nearby universe
 Surveys like MaNGA continue to!

High-z optical surveys have gotten larger and pushed to higher and higher z

► CFRS, VVDS, DEEP2, zCOSMOS, VIPERS, VUDS,

Near-IR Surveys have taken us the next step

- ► MOSDEF, KBSS, FMOS-COSMOS, 3D-HST,
- KMOS-3D

Metallicity



Tremonti et al. 2004, z<0.1, SDSS



Zahid et al. (incl. Kartaltepe) 2014, z~1.6, FMOS-COSMOS



Sanders et al. 2021, z=2-3, MOSDEF

The Fundamental Metallicity Relation

- \blacktriangleright z = 0 from SDSS
- z > 0.5, various sources from literature
- See talk by Francesco Pistis





Mannucci et al. 2010

Evolution of the FMR?





Cresci et al. 2019 See also, Wuyts et al. 2012, Henry et al. 2013

Kashino et al. (incl. Kartaltepe) 2017 See also Zahid et al. 2014, Grasshorn-Gebhardt 2016

Galaxy Merger Rates

Hubble Space Telescope imaging of the CFRS and LDSS redshift surveys – IV. Influence of mergers in the evolution of faint field galaxies from $z \sim 1$

O. Le Fèvre,^{1★} R. Abraham,² S. J. Lilly,³ R. S. Ellis,² J. Brinchmann,² D. Schade,⁶ L. Tresse,^{1,4} M. Colless,⁵ D. Crampton,⁶ K. Glazebrook,⁷ F. Hammer⁸ and T. Broadhurst⁹

Spectroscopically identified galaxy pairs and mergers

EVOLUTION OF THE GALAXY MERGER RATE

How common were mergers in the past compared to today?



Bridge et al. 2010

EVOLUTION OF THE GALAXY MERGER RATE

How common were mergers in the past compared to today?

Time since Big Bang (Gyr) 13 10 8 1.2 1.51 10^{1} 10^{1} $\log_{10}(M_{\star}) > 10.3$ accretion rate, M_{*}/M_{*} [Gyr Merger rate, \mathcal{R}_{M} [Gyr⁻¹: 10⁰ SSFR 10⁰ Illustris - $\log_{10}(M_{\star}) = 10.3$ -10^{-1} Illustris - $\log_{10}(M_{\star}) = 11.0$ 10^{-1} Specific mass Mundy+ (2017) - UDS Mundy+ (2017) - COSMOS Mundy+ (2017) - GAMA $\cdot 10^{-2}$ All CANDELS Fields Mantha+ (2018) - CANDELS 10^{-2} 6 Redshift

Duncan et al. (incl. Kartaltepe) 2019 See also, Ferreira et al. 2000

Star Formation Enhancement in Nearby Galaxy Pairs



Patton et al. (2013)

Patton et al. (2020)

Increasing Numbers of Spectroscopically Confirmed Pairs at High-z

- de Ravel et al. 2009: 314 major pairs at 0.15 < z < 1.0</p>
- ▶ Silverman et al. 2011: 562 major and minor pairs at 0.25 < z < 1.05
- Tasca et al. 2014: 12 major pairs at 2 < z < 4</p>
- Ventou et al. 2017: 113 major and minor pairs at 0.2 < z < 6</p>
- ▶ Shah et al. 2020: 1300 major pairs at 0.5 < z < 3.0
- **Dai et al. 2021**: 416 major and minor pairs at 0.2 < z < 1.6
- + more from ALMA surveys!

Overall, still very small numbers at z > 2...

Silverman et al. 2011

Star Formation Enhancement in Distant Galaxy Pairs

- ~1300 spectroscopically identified major merger pairs in COSMOS and CANDELS (0.5 < z < 3)
- ~300 visually identified mergers and close interactions
- SFR in pairs/mergers relative to that of a matched control sample



Shah, Kartaltepe, et al., 2022, submitted

SFR Enhancement in IllustrisTNG Pairs

- Selected the same way as observed pairs
- See only slight decrease in enhancement with redshift



Shah, Kartaltepe, et al., in prep

AGN Enhancement in Nearby Galaxy Pairs

SDSS Pairs (0.01 < z < 0.2)5 4 excess 3 AGN 2 -20 60 40 80 0 Projected separation $(h^{-1} kpc)$

zCOSMOS Pairs (0.25 < z < 1.05)



Silverman et al. (2011)

Ellison et al. (2013)

AGN Enhancement at Higher z?



Shah, Kartaltepe, et al., 2020

~1300 major merger pairs in COSMOS and CANDELS (0.5 < z < 3)</p>

AGN fraction in pairs relative to that of a matched control sample

"X-Ray" AGN Enhancement in IllustrisTNG



Shah, Kartaltepe, et al., in prep

Lx estimated using BH accretion rate from IllustrisTNG

AGN Selection via BPT



Baldwin, Philips, & Terlevich 1981

Kauffmann et al. 2003

BPT at High-z



Runco et al. 2021, z~2.3

Kartaltepe et al. 2015, z~1.5

Resolved Spectroscopy with IFUs

- With resolved spectroscopy, one can:
- Isolate nuclear and specific star forming regions
- Identify low luminosity AGN
- Study the impact of shocks
- Study the properties of outflows

Belfiore et al. 2016

- Look at metallicity gradients
- Probe detailed kinematics



 $Log(H\alpha Flux)$

We've Only Seen the Tip of the Iceberg at High-z <u>https://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/KMOS3D</u>

- KMOS-3D: NIR IFU spectroscopy of 700 galaxies at 0.7 < z < 2.7</p>
- Hα velocity fields of 250
 z~1 and 2 galaxies, relative to the star forming main sequence





KMOS-3D

Rotation curves of high-z disks suggest an evolution in the dark matter content of galaxies

Genzel et al. 2017, 2020 Lang et al. 2017 Price et al. 2021



JWST Spectroscopic Modes



JWST Possibilities

Metallicity measurements using strong lines, weak lines, and direct calibration

Resolved stellar kinematics

> Redshifts for large numbers of faint/low mass/ high-z galaxies (including pairs!)

Strong lines detectable out to high-z



Your Favorite Topic Here! MIR AGN Diagnostics

ISM conditions in the early universe: Evolution of the ionization parameter and ionizing photon production, gas density/pressure

The 30-m Class ELTs

IFUs+AO (multiplexed?) will enable 'MaNGA' at high-z!

- Resolved metallicities
- Resolved stellar kinematics for faint galaxies

Next-Generation NIR MOS

Measure redshifts, metallicities, ISM conditions, AGN diagnostics, etc. for large samples of galaxies



Summary

- Large optical and NIR spectroscopic surveys have enabled tremendous progress in our understanding of galaxy evolution
 - ► MZR and FMR well-established, evolution of FMR debated
 - Merger rate better constrained to moderate z, larger samples needed at higher z
 - Possibly evolving role of interactions in fueling star formation and AGN activity but larger samples of spectroscopically confirmed pairs at z>2 are needed
 - AGN and ISM diagnostics out to cosmic noon
- IFU surveys at low-z (MaNGA, CALIFA, SAMI) enable detailed studies of physical processes in galaxies
 - High-z surveys like KMOS-3D are beginning to scratch the surveys
- Larger, fainter, and higher-z samples are needed to address many open questions
 - ▶ Will be possible with JWST, ELTs, and surveys with PFS, MOONS, Euclid, Roman, etc.