# InterGalactic Medium extinction in high-z galaxies with VUDS and VANDELS

VIMOS at the ESO VLT measures the distance of 1001 distant galaxie in one single observation 28/09/2002



R. Thomas [ESO-Chile] with the VANDELS and VUDS collaborations

A tribute to Olivier Le Fèvre +8 huly 20

### InterGalactic Medium extinction Principle



The light of distant sources is absorbed by the gaseous clouds that lie along the Line Of Sight. Photons with Lyman series wavelength are partially (or even totally) absorbed  $\rightarrow$  Lyman alpha forest

The Lyα forest is thought to be the natural result of hierarchical structure formation within cold dark matter models (Cen+94)

# Until VUDS: QSO

#### Ellison+00





Until VUDS arrived, the IGM transmission is studied mainly from QSO data.

The use the HI optical depth related to the transmission by

 $\tau_{\rm eff} = -\ln\left[{\rm Tr}({\rm Ly}_a)\right]$ 

Lot of literature and data available : Songaila+04, Dall'Aglio+08, Faucher-Guigere+08, Becker+13+15, Monzon+20, etc...



Thomas+17

The IGM transmission in galaxies was not really measured. But it was widely used in template fitting and lyman break selection techniques.

At a given redshift one would apply a template model to the galaxy template to reproduce the IGM opacity

#### Madau+95



The IGM transmission in galaxies was not really measured. But was widely used in template fitting.

At a given redshift one would apply a template model to the galaxy template to reproduce the IGM opacity and then fit the galaxies.

→ Two main models: Madau+95 and Meiksin +06



#### Madau+95



Vleiksin+06  $\begin{pmatrix} 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ 3000 \\ 4000 \\ \lambda_{obs}$  (Å) The IGM transmission in galaxies was not really measured. But was widely used in template fitting.

At a given redshift one would apply a template model to the galaxy template to reproduce the IGM opacity and then fit the galaxies.

→ Two main models: Madau+95 and Meiksin +06

One redshift <>> One curve

#### Madau+95

Meiksin+06



Equivalent to saying that at a given redshift all LOS towards distant objects are populated by the same number of gaseous clouds with similar properties, producing the same transmission independently of the position of the observed galaxy or QSO in the sky.



 $\rightarrow$  Need to extend the parameter space

### Toward a free IGM

### Madau+95



1-sigma range

Madau+95 provides +/-1 sigma curves at z=3.5

### Toward a free IGM



Madau+95 provides +/- 1 sigma curves at z=3.5

We used this example from M95 and created an empirical modelisation to create additional IGM transmission at any redshift.

 $\rightarrow$  6 news curves at any redshift

→ we can now try to measure the IGM transmission from high-z galaxies

Thomas+17

### VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey in a nutshell

- ESO Large Program: 640h
- Focused on 2<z<6
- $1 \text{ deg}^2$
- 10,000 targets
- 3 fields: mitigate cosmic variance
- Selection: photo-z + SED + color, iAB≤25
- 14hr integration over 3600Å-9300Å
- 8000+ galaxies with 2<zspec<6.5.



Selection







Slide made by OLF in 2013





VVDS

COSMOS ECDFS

#### 8000+ redshifts



### VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey

#### Le Fevre+15



More than 60 papers have been published using VUDS data. (Cf Lidia's talk tomorrow and VUDS

poster)

Work on the final data release is on-going now and we hope to release everything by the end of the year or early 2023





Large public survey with VIMOS as well focused on galaxies at z>1. (McLure+18, Pentericci+18)

- 2100 galaxies observed
- Up to 80h of exposure time
- 2 fields: UDS and CDFS
- R~580 (medium resolution grism) and spectra from 4800Å to 10000Å.
- Final data release available. (Garilli+21)

One of the last survey carried out with VIMOS







# Example of spectral fitting

#### (VUDS x GOSSIP+) (VANDELS x SPARTAN) bitrary unit] [] 1.00 - VANDELS spectrum VANDELS spectrum - SPARTAN best fit SPARTAN best fit ž [arbitrary u 0.50 IGM = +1, 01.5 z = 2.8179, IGM=0 z = 2.9055. 2.4 z = 5.2244×. E(B-V) = 0.1, 0 $cm^{-2}$ 1.0 density 0.00 density 1.6 z = 4.52Flux density (1018 erg.s-1 nx Flux 0.85000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 Wavelength [Å] Wavelength [Å] 0.0 0.0[]2.0 unit] VANDELS spectrum SPARTAN best fit z = 3.4464. IGM= -1. SPARTAN best fit VANDELS spectrum [arbitrary 1.0 z = 3.1935, IGM=0 bitrary 1.0 1.5 0.5 0.5 z = 5.784density [ density 0.5 0.0 Flux Flux z = 5.54-0.59000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 8000 5000 5000 6000 7000 10000 Wavelength [Å] Wavelength [Å] 05

Thomas+20

Thomas+17

### IGM distribution from VUDS (Thomas+17)



### VUDS x GOSSIP

-IGM transmission decreases with redshift : 79% at z=2.75 and 46% at z=4.77 -Large dispersion at any redshift: ~15% at z=2.75 and ~9% at z=4.77

Indication of higher transmission at z > 4.

Difference can be reduced if E(B-V)max =0.05  $\rightarrow$  IGM/Dust degeneracy

# IGM distribution from VANDELS (Thomas+19)



VANDELS x SPARTAN

First we estimate the dust extinction from photometry and then use these estimate to fix the dust parameter during spectral fitting. → Study of different dust prescription Find that Calzetti's dust extinction allows us to reproduce better data from the literature (coherent with litterature)

-New data, new code → Similar results (that's reassuring)
-The two-step fitting seems to reduce the new degeneracy found in VUDS

### VUDS+VANDELS IGM $\rightarrow$ IGM visualisation



We create stack spectra in different redshift bins. In each bin we make 3 spectra:

- One with all galaxies whose IGM transmission is higher than the mean (see previous slide), **in blue**
- One with all galaxies whose IGM transmission is lower than the mean, in red

One with all the galaxies in gray

The IGM variance is clearly visible at any reshift.

Thomas et al +21

## Comparison with QSO: VUDS+VANDELS

The IGM is generally studied using QSOs (e.g. Becker+13,+15). We compare our measurments from our galaxies to QSO's data using the optical depth with

 $\tau_{eff} = -\ln [Tr(Ly_{\alpha})]$ Excellent agreement between galaxies and

QSOs from different sources.

Fitting with a functional of the form :  $\tau_{\rm eff} = A \times (1{+}z)^{\gamma}$ 



Thomas et al +21

### Consequence

Simulation of two samples at 2.7<z<3.5 with and without a free IGM transmission to test the influence of the IGM on the selection of high-z galaxies



 $\rightarrow$  ~30% of the z>3.2 galaxies are missed by the selection

## Summary

- Creation of an empirical model of IGM transmission based on M95 and M06 models. This allows to use SED fitting to compute the IGM in galaxies.
- The Intergalactic medium is clearly visible in high redshift galaxy spectra and can be measured!
   Very good agreement with the literature and QSO studies.
- In addition we find that the scatter in IGM transmission values is large. This can have important consequences for galaxy selection using LBG method.

#### **References:**

R. Thomas et al 2017. A&A, 597A, 88T • R. Thomas et al, 2020, A&A, 634A, 110T • R. Thomas et al 2021 A&A 650, A63• R. Thomas 2021, A&C, 3400427 • Le Fèvre, O et al 2015, A&A, 576A, 79L • Pentericci, L et al 2018, A&A, 616A, 174P • McLure, R. J. et al, MNRAS, 2018, 479, 25M • Becker, G et al, 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2067B • Becker, G et al, 2015, MNRAS, 447, 3402B

# My small tribute to Olivier

#### VUDS-LAM team at Olivier's place



That was quite a ride! With some crazy good moments. I will always be grateful to Olivier for the opportunity he gave me (us). A lot of us joined LAM at the beginning of VUDS. Some as postdocs and some as PhD students (we were 3 at the same time!).

### VUDS meeting @ LAM

